

5

REMARKS**Notice of Non-Compliant Office Action**

This is a second copy of an original office action dated August 5, 2004 in which the claims were not presented in a separate sheet and without identifiers. Please accept
10 this amendment having such correction made.

Paragraph 1 of the Office Action

This application contains claims directed to two species.

Applicant has cancelled claim 7 directed to Figure 5 and will elect species A as
15 illustrated in Figures 1-4.

Paragraph 2 of the Office Action

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 USC §112 second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant
20 regards as the invention.

It is believed that the amendments made to claims 1 and 6, and particularly to the preambles of those claims, correct any deficiencies therein. Should these changes not be satisfactory, applicant respectfully requests any suggestions the Examiner may have to
rectify these issues.

25 Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested by the applicant.

Paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Office Action

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Shelnick.

Claim 7 has been cancelled.

30 Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested by the applicant.

Paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Office Action

Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Dixon et al.

5 Claim 7 has been cancelled. Claim 5 has been cancelled and its limitations incorporated into newly amended claim 1. Claim 1 is now believed to be in condition for allowance. Claims 2 and 3, by virtue of their dependence on claim 1, are also believed to be in condition for allowance.

 Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested by the applicant.

10

Paragraph 7 of the Office Action

 Claims 4-5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office Action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

15 Claims 4 and 5 have been cancelled and claim 5 has been incorporated into amended claim 1. Claim 1 is now believed to be in condition for allowance.

 Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested by the applicant.

Paragraph 8 of the Office Action

20 Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office Action.

 Claim 6 has been amended and is believed to be in condition for allowance.

 The applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection.


5

CONCLUSION

10 In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early consideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

15

Date: 9/7/04

Sean A. Kaufhold (Reg. No. 46,820)
P.O. Box 131447
Carlsbad, CA 92013

20 (760) 470-3368 FAX (760) 631-1557

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.